

Applicant: John David Breiten
Application No.: 10/815,179
Response to Office action dated Aug. 22, 2006
Response filed October 17, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 17 2006

Remarks


Claims 1–21 remain pending in the application. In the Office action dated September 28, 2006, the examiner required election of a species within the elected (Group I) claims 1, 8, 17, and 18. Applicant elects the species of claim 1 with traverse. Claims 1-5 and 19-21 read on the species of claim 1. Applicant believes, as set forth in the previous response, which reasoning is incorporated herein by reference, that all claims as amended should be considered in a single application. No serious burden is imposed upon the Examiner to consider all pending claims. The claims all relate to indicia on something used to form a doctor blade which allows doctor blades to be cut to different sizes from common stock. The claims are limited to the papermaking art, and to indicia upon something used to make a doctor blade.

Claim 16 has been amended to remove a typographical error.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance. Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J.G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
election2.res

October 17, 2006 (1:03pm)